

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/807,172	03/24/2004	Kenji Yamashita	403017	4128		
23548	7590 04/19/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER		
LEYDIG VOIT & MAYER, LTD 700 THIRTEENTH ST. NW			DELCOTTO, O	DELCOTTO, GREGORY R		
SUITE 300	211111111111	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20005-3960		1751			
			DATE MAILED: 04/19/2006	DATE MAILED: 04/19/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicati	ion No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/807,1	72	YAMASHITA, KENJI	
		Examine	ır	Art Unit	
	,	Gregory I	R. Del Cotto	1751	
The Period for Re	MAILING DATE of this communic	ation appears on th	e cover sheet with	n the correspondence ac	dress
A SHORT WHICHEV - Extensions after SIX (6) - If NO period - Failure to re Any reply re	ENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR IS LONGER, FROM THE MADE of time may be available under the provisions of MONTHS from the mailing date of this communifor reply is specified above, the maximum statingly within the set or extended period for reply within the set of the se	AILING DATE OF TI of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ex unication. utory period will apply and w rill, by statute, cause the app	HIS COMMUNIC, vent, however, may a repwill expire SIX (6) MONTI plication to become ABA	ATION. bly be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this of NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status					
2a)☐ This 3)☐ Sind	consive to communication(s) filed action is FINAL . 2 e this application is in condition feed in accordance with the practic	b) This action is roor allowance except	non-final. t for formal matte		e merits is
Disposition o	f Claims				
4a) € 5)	m(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the aport the above claim(s) is/are m(s) is/are allowed. m(s) 1-11 is/are rejected. m(s) is/are objected to. m(s) is/are subject to restrict	e withdrawn from co			
Application P	•	_			
10)☐ The 6 Appl Repl	specification is objected to by the drawing(s) filed on is/are: cant may not request that any object acement drawing sheet(s) including to path or declaration is objected to	a) accepted or b tion to the drawing(s) the correction is requi	be held in abeyanc red if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 C	
Priority unde	35 U.S.C. § 119				
a)⊠ All 1.⊟ 2.⊟ 3.⊠	•	locuments have bee locuments have bee f the priority docum nal Bureau (PCT Ru	en received. en received in Ap ents have been r lle 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National	l Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of R	eferences Cited (PTO-892)			mmary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of D 3) Information	raftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P)/Mail Date <u>3/24/04</u> .		Paper No(s)/	/Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PT	O-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/807,172 Page 2

Art Unit: 1751

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-11 are pending. The preliminary amendment filed 3/24/05 has been entered.

Priority

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical

Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000.

Art Unit: 1751

Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by JP2001207160. Note that, a translation of this document has been requested by the Examiner and an oral translation of this document was given to the Examiner.

'160 teaches an abrasive having excellent durability in abrasion efficiency. The abrasive comprises nucleuses exhibiting a desired elasticity and adhesivity by containing water, the water contained in the nucleuses and plural abrasive grains adhered on the surface of the nucleuses by adhesion. The nucleus contains a vaporization agent for preventing the evaporation of water from the nucleus. See Abstract. Note that, during the oral translation, the Examiner was informed that the

Art Unit: 1751

particulate bodies and stick-free fragments as taught by '160 have the same diameter and are made of the same materials as recited by the instant claims. Furthermore, note that, Fig 2. on page 5 of '160 is the same as Figure 2 of the instant specification which depicts a blasting device which uses the abrasive particles to clean a desired object. '160 discloses the claimed invention with sufficient specificity to constitute anticipation.

Accordingly, the teachings of '170 anticipate the material limitations of the instant claims.

Conclusion

2. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Remaining references cited but not relied upon are considered to be cumulative to or less pertinent than those relied upon or discussed above.

Applicant is reminded that any evidence to be presented in accordance with 37 CFR 1.131 or 1.132 should be submitted before final rejection in order to be considered timely.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gregory R. Del Cotto whose telephone number is (571) 272-1312. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. thru Fri. from 8:30 AM to 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Douglas McGinty can be reached on (571) 272-1029. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/807,172 Page 5

Art Unit: 1751

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Gregory R. Del Cotto Primary Examiner Art Unit 1751

GRD April 17, 2006